


Do We Have A Climate Crisis?



Dr. Heinz Lycklama

heinz@osta.com


HeinzLycklama.com/RefutingGWA



Climate change over the past 1000 years as shown by the IPCC



The Hockey Stick Chart



Do We Have a Climate Crisis?

- Biblical Mandate
- The Global Warming (GW)/CC Claims
- Some Climate Change (CC) Facts
- Greenhouse Effect
- Greenhouse Gases
- **UN IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on CC)**
- **NIPCC (Nongovernmental International Panel on CC)**
- Consider 16 Key Issues
- Summary
- Conclusions

IPCC
INTERGOVERNMENTAL
PANEL ON
CLIMATE CHANGE



Biblical Mandate

- Be fruitful and multiply and fill the earth and subdue it, and have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the heavens and over every living thing that moves on the earth. **Gen. 1:28**
- While the earth remains, seedtime and harvest, cold and heat, summer and winter, day and night, shall not cease. **Gen. 8:22**
- But test everything; hold fast what is good. **1 Th. 5:21**




The Manmade GW/CC Claims

■ Predicted by the UN IPCC


- Real & human-caused
- +5 degrees C by 2100
- Arctic ice cap disappearing
- Polar bear population will decline
- Sea level will rise by 88+ cm in 2100
- Increased drought, extreme weather, more storms, heat waves, more wildfires, etc.
- Most significant threat to mankind
- Must act NOW!

“Before this century is over, billions of us will die ... “
James Lovelock, scientist

■ What is the Reality?




Global Warming Projections




The Changing UN IPCC Reports

Climate change over the past 1000 years as shown by the IPCC

1990 IPCC report





2001 IPCC report




The Hockey Stick Chart

Hockey Stick Chart





MIT Researchers Slam GW Data




Reported on July 15, 2017


UK MET Climate Fraud in 2002

RED – published in 2015


BLUE – most recently published



NASA US Temperature, 1999-2019



No Global Warming For 19 Years



Global Cooling (GC) -> Global Warming (GW)?

GC alarmists: Gov. **Brown Jr.**, Dr. John **Holdren**, Dr. Paul **Ehrlich**
GW alarmists – Gov. **Brown Jr.**, Dr. John **Holdren**, Al **Gore**



Global Cooling? Global Warming?

Gov. Jerry Brown's 1977 Global Cooling BS, Recycled
As Gov. Jerry Brown's 2014 Global Warming BS
Posted on July 30, 2014




In 1977, **Governor Brown** warned that California was facing an unprecedented drought, blamed at the time on **Global Cooling**



CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR JERRY BROWN:


**1977: 'UNPRECEDENTED
CALIFORNIA DROUGHT
CAUSED BY GLOBAL COOLING'**

**2015: 'UNPRECEDENTED
CALIFORNIA DROUGHT
CAUSED BY
GLOBAL WARMING'**



**'HOT, COLD - WHAT'S
THE DIFFERENCE?'**

DAVIDNCKE.COM




“The entire North
'polarized' cap will
disappear in 5 years.”

-Al Gore, 2008



North Pole Ice Cap
August, 2013

Global Energy Flows $W m^{-2}$





Anthropogenic Contribution

Anthropogenic (man-made) Contribution to the "Greenhouse Effect," expressed as % of Total (water vapor INCLUDED)



Based on concentrations (ppb) adjusted for heat retention characteristics	% of All Greenhouse Gases	% Natural	% Man-made
Water vapor	95.000%	94.999%	0.001%
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	3.618%	3.502%	0.117%
Methane (CH ₄)	0.360%	0.294%	0.066%
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	0.950%	0.903%	0.047%
Misc. gases (CFC's, etc.)	0.072%	0.025%	0.047%
Total	100.00%	99.72	0.28%

Greenhouse Gases (with Water Vapor)






Human contribution to greenhouse effect = 0.28%

Greenhouse Gases (no Water Vapor)



Natural & Manmade Sources




Man-made CO₂ contributions cause only about **0.117%** of Earth's **greenhouse effect**, (factoring in **water vapor**). This is insignificant!



Issue #1

Climate Always Changing

Mean Relative Temperature History




GLOBAL TEMPERATURES (2500 B.C. TO 2040 A.D.)

MAJOR GLOBAL COOLING FROM 2007 TO 2009

A 0.9 Degree Fahrenheit drop in global temperatures occurred from October 2007 to February 2009.

MOUNT PINATUBO ERUPTION (Philippines)

1.1 Degree F. Rapid Cool Down (June 1991 to March 1992)
Global Temperature Went From 0.6 Degrees Above Normal To 0.5 Degrees Below Normal.






Issue #2


CO₂ Is Required For Life
CO₂ Increases Food Production
CO₂ is Not a Pollutant

Growth and Increased CO2



Average corn yields in the United States, 1866-2014

Average corn (maize) yields in the United States, measured in tonnes per hectare:





Issue #3


The Science is Not Settled




Botched Predictions for 2015

- Predictions by Dr. Paul Ehrlich, **Dr. John Holdren** [Obama's Science Advisor], the UN
 - Made in **1970's** and **1980's** about **Global Cooling**
- All came to naught:
 - UN overestimated GW by 2015
 - All Rainforest Species Will Be Extinct
 - Oil will run out by 2015
 - Arctic sea ice will disappear by 2015
 - Looking to the future: A billion people could die from climate change by 2020
- **Holdren in 1971: “new ice age likely”**


Actual CC Pronouncements




2000 Years of Global Temperatures




30-Year Cooling in Mid-Century




Solar Activity Closely Related To Climate Temperature Change
CO2 levels have a weak influence on U.S. maximum temperatures



Temperature Reconstruction* for N. Hemisphere, 1 - 2000 AD Shows Modern Warm Period Not Exceptional



Battle of the graphs: Mann versus Ball



NIPCC




■ Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change

- Nongovernment scientists and scholars
- Peer reviewed technical papers
- Annual Climate Change conference

■ Published reports

- Nature, Not Human Activity, Rules the Climate
- Climate Change Reconsidered I and II
- Scientific Critique of IPCC's 'Summary for Policymakers'
- Why Scientists Disagree About GW (2nd Edition)


Second Edition of NIPCC Book





NIPCC Key Findings

- No consensus
- Why scientists disagree
- Scientific method vs. political science
- Flawed projects
- False postulates
- Unreliable circumstantial evidence
- Policy implications



Specific Claims in WA Senate Bill 5802: Refuted by Easterbrook (Climate Scientist)

1. Emissions of greenhouse gases from human activities is the principal cause of climate change.
2. Sea level is rising at an increasing rate because of GW
3. The frequency of severe storms is increasing because of GW
4. Reduced winter snow packs and decreased summer streamflows
5. Increasing acidification of the state's marine waters
6. Produce more electricity from renewable energy while phasing out coal-powered electricity generation



Issue #4

CO₂ Cannot Drive Global Warming





Possible Global Warming Causes

1. Increased **CO2** in atmosphere?
 - Insignificant **GHG**, follows **GW**
2. **GW** by Sunspots Only?
 - Influence too small by themselves
3. Solar Irradiance? ← **Most Likely!**
 - Cloud Cover increase -> Cooling
 - Galatial Cosmic Radiation (**GCR**)
4. Pacific Decadal Oscillation (**PDO**)?
 - Occurs every 30 years in North Pacific Ocean


1. Evidence for GW by CO2?

- The greenhouse gas signature missing
 - No telltale “hotspot” warming pattern
- Vostoc ice cores do not show **CO2** pushing up temperatures
 - Increased temperatures **800 years before CO2**
- Temperatures are not rising
 - Since 1999 temperatures have been flat
- CO2 already doing almost all the warming it can do
 - Twice the CO2 does not make twice the difference




The Skeptic's Handbook, Joanne Nova 2009

Atmospheric CO₂ (Mauna Loa)



Actual Climate Change Facts

CO2 in Atmosphere Insignificant






Issue #5


Total Solar Irradiation (TSI) Can
Cause Significant Fluctuations in
the Climate

2. GW by Sunspots Only?

Temperature, CO₂, and Sunspots





Temperature Correlation With Sun





3. Total Solar Irradiance?

The following charts show clearly that climate change seems to be directly correlated to Solar Irradiance, rather than CO₂, as the "Global Warming Crisis" alarmist crowd would have you think.



Modified from Soon, 2004

400 Years of Sunspot Observations




Svensmark Global Cosmic Ray Theory

Inactive Sun

High cosmic rays

More clouds

Cool earth




Active Sun

Low cosmic rays


Fewer clouds

Hot earth



Cloud Experiment Supports GW Theory, Dr. Larry Vardiman

Cosmic Rays vs. Sunspots






Anthropic GW Hoax Unravelling

- **The Sun** is continually being revealed as the main driver of Earth's climate, and the Sun is shutting down (relatively), cloud-nucleating Cosmic Rays are on the increase, and a meridional jet stream flow is becoming evermore prevalent
- The upshot of all these forcings is most likely **Global Cooling**

NASA Prediction – Solar Cycle 25

- Solar cycle (25) will be “the weakest of the past 200 years,” with the agency correlating previous solar shutdowns to prolonged periods of global cooling here






Issue #6


Pacific Decadal Oscillations
(PDO) Can Cause Significant
Fluctuations in the Climate

4. Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)




Preferred by Dr. Roy Spencer (Climate Scientist)

Surface Temperature Record




PDO – Key to the GW Debate?




- Switch between two circulation patterns every 30 years in North Pacific Ocean
- ENSO – El Nino Southern Oscillation every few years of tropical Pacific Ocean

Pacific Ocean Oscillations


Pacific Decadal Oscillation



El Nino Southern Oscillation



Ocean Warming Minimal





Issue #7

Full Implementation of the Paris
Climate Agreement Would Have
Almost No Effect on the
Climate, Even by 2100



Paris Climate Agreement

- Work of the UN Framework Convention on CC
- Deals with Green House Gas emissions mitigation, adaptation and finance
- Adopted on December 12, 2015
- Start planned for 2020
- Signed by 195 nations, ratified by 144
- Implementation implications
 - Prevent 0.306 degrees Fahrenheit of GW by 2100
 - At a cost of \$65 to \$132 Trillion, 2030 to 2100

COP21 final deal: Key points...

- COP21 President Laurent Fabius says text is "differentiated, balanced, durable and "legally-binding"
- Text "emphasises" need to keep warming "well below 2C"
- ... And "Pursuing" efforts to keep warming "below 1.5C"
- 5-year 'stocktake' of how countries are doing on their climate plans
- Issues of 'Differentiation' and 'Loss & Damage' included
- No clear timescale of when fossil fuels must be phased out



SIX KEY POINTS OF THE PARIS CLIMATE AGREEMENT

The 31-page document that details a landmark agreement reached in Paris on 12 December 2015 could be a turning point in the struggle to contain global warming. The historic pact, approved by 195 countries, will take effect from 2020.



To keep global **temperature** increase below 2C (3.6F) and to pursue efforts to limit it to 1.5C.



186 countries submitted plans detailing how they reduce their **greenhouse gas pollution** through 2025 or 2030.




Overall assessment of how countries are doing in cutting their emissions compared to their **national plans** – starting in 2023, every five years.



\$100 billion a year in climate finance for developing countries by 2020, with a commitment to further finance in the future.



Rich countries to engage in absolute reductions in emissions, developing ones to continue enhancing their mitigation efforts.



Countries should reach global peaking of **greenhouse gas emissions** as soon as possible.

Source: UNEP, Global Trends in Renewable Energy Investment 2015



Emissions Targets for USA & China


- The Obama administration agreed to an economy-wide target of reducing U.S. greenhouse gas (82% of which is carbon dioxide (CO₂)) emissions by 26%-28% below its 2005 level in 2025.
- China agreed “to achieve the peaking of CO₂ emissions around 2030” and to other measures such as those designed to increase the share of non-fossil fuels in primary energy consumption. Taking into consideration expected economic growth in China and other factors, their target translates into about a 70% *increase* above its 2005 level in 2025.




Issue #8

The UN IPCC Climate Models Are Not Realistic


Models & Estimates



IPCC Change in Projections





Models vs. Observations



Water Vapor (H₂O)

- Most Abundant greenhouse gas
- Positive Feedback Cycle







Issue #9

Surface Weather Stations Give
Less Accurate (and Higher
Average) Temperatures Than
Satellite Measurements


Urban Heat Island Effect




Unequivocal "Global" Warming Does Not Exist

Last 15 yrs: U.S. urban/airport areas vs. rural temperatures

www.c3headlines.com




Correlation of Average Temperature and Number of Surface Stations



Eliminated data from weather stations likely to show global cooling

Satellite-Based Temperature



Issue #10: Polar Bears Thriving, Not Becoming Extinct

1960's - 5,000 Polar Bears
Today - 25,000+ Polar Bears



Inconvenient Fact

CFACT.org 




Issue #11

Sea Levels Are Not Rising
As Fast As Predicted


Sea Level Rise Projections

Sea level rise due to global warming

Sea level rise over the last century



Sea level rise scenarios for 2100




GRID
Arendal
UNEP

GRAPHIC DESIGN: PHILIPPE REKACIOWICZ

Source: Climate change 1995, The science of climate change, contribution of working group 1 to the second assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, UNEP and WMO, Cambridge university press, 1995; Sea level rise over the last century, adapted from Gornitz and Lebedeff, 1987.

Sea Level Unaffected by CO2





Sea Level Rise Reality

In the last 140 years, since 1880, we have increased CO₂ by 38%, yet the predicted sea rise hasn't happened. Look at Wismar, Germany? Wismar sits on the Mecklenburg Bend, in the Baltic Sea. It is halfway between Norway and Sweden, and the Netherlands. Both Norway and Sweden are actually rising, and have been doing so since the last Glacial Maximum, as melting ice removed weight. So, people in Norway are saying, "Hey, sea levels are falling!" Well, no, their land is rising. Then on the other side, you have Holland and Belgium. Here, the land is in fact sinking. It's like a seesaw. Norway goes up, Holland goes down.



Issue #12

Arctic Sea Ice Is Not Disappearing

Hey Climate Marchers:


THE SCIENCE IS
SETTLED.
YOU'RE BEING
HUSTLED!

**CLIMATE
HUSTLE**

ClimateHustle.com

ACTUAL DATA REFUTE CLIMATE MODELS

Hey Climate Marchers:



DON'T BE HUSTLED BY GLOBAL WARMING HYPE!



Hey Climate Marchers:


"POLAR BEARS AT

**HIGHEST POPULATION LEVELS
IN 50 YRS"**




Dr. Roger Croft
State of Alaska


Arctic Ice Cap Actual vs. Prediction



Sea Ice Thickness, 30-Sep-2019



Winter Temperatures near North Pole





Issue #13

Weather Extremes Are Not Increasing

[Drought, hurricanes, tornadoes,
more storms, heat waves, forest fires,
ocean temperature, snow level]

Drought 800 -> 2000 AD




Tony Heller @Tony__Heller · 5m


Replying to @RyanMaue

Ryan Maue gives in to superstition. Drought is the normal climate for California. The wet 20th century was the anomaly.

mercurynews.com/2014/01/30/gra...



No change in **drought** percentage since 1901




Got warming?

CFACT.org 

Intense Hurricanes since 1880 by Decade

(Cat 3,4,5 - <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/>)



Deadliest United States hurricanes

Rank	Hurricane	Season	Fatalities
1	"Galveston"	1900	8,000–12,000
2	"San Ciriaco"	1899	3,400
3	Maria	2017	2,982*
4	"Okeechobee"	1928	2,823
5	"Cheniere Caminada"	1893	2,000
6	Katrina	2005	1,200
7	"Sea Islands"	1893	1,000–2,000
8	"Indianola"	1875	771
9	"Florida Keys"	1919	745

Play (k)


1:57 / 7:54



US Tornadoes


Annual Count of US Tomadoes EF-3 and Stronger

1970 to 2015




2018 – Oklahoma record longest tornado drought ended 1 May


Strong to Violent Tornadoes (F3+) in the US, 1954 to 2018




Extreme Weather?




A U.S. Annual Heat Wave Index



**Average Maximum Temperature Vs. Year 1900-2018
At All US Historical Climatology Network Stations
Red Line Is 5 Year Mean**




U.S. Temperature, 1880-2000




US Average Percent Of Days Over 95F (35C)

At 1192 USHCN Stations




2000 Years of Global Temperature



Temperature Data Manipulation


Fig. 2: Alteration of temperature data for the entire USA, 1920-1960 values were reduced, 1980 values increased:



Source: Friedrich-Karl Ewert

Frequency of U.S. Wildfires

US Forest Fire Count



US Forest Fire Burn Acreage





Figure 16-1. Total acreage burned.


Annual and 5-year acreage burned—U.S.—all lands




Source: USDA Forest Service, Forest Health Protection



Trends in Ocean Temperatures (20S-60N) 10 to 20 Year periods ending in 2014




Fall Northern Hemisphere Snow Extent





Total snow mass for Northern Hemisphere, excluding mountains





Issue #14

UN IPCC Charter Limits It To Identifying Only Human Causes of Global Warming

UN IPCC Charter

The [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change] IPCC's charter

from the outset has been "to assess

on a comprehensive, objective, open and transparent basis the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts and options for adaptation and mitigation. IPCC reports should be neutral with respect to policy. ... may need to deal objectively with scientific, technical and socio-economic factors relevant to the application of particular policies."





UN IPCC Reports

- Report #1 in 1990: Ignored satellite data (showed no warming)
- Report #2 in 1995: Significant alterations after approval by scientists
- Report #3 in 2001: Claimed 20th century showed “unusual warming”
- Report #4 in 2007: Completely devaluated climate changes in solar activity
- Report #5 in 2014: Confidence increased to 90-95% despite no global warming for 15 years



Issue #15

UN IPCC Officials Admit That
Its Real Agenda Is To
Redistribute Wealth

UN IPCC Reality



“We (UN-IPCC) redistribute de facto the world’s wealth by climate policy...”

“One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with environmental policy anymore...”

-Dr. Ottmar Endenhofer, IPCC co-chair of Working Group 3, November 13, 2010 interview [H/t Dr. Charles Battig]



Issue #16

The Alleged “97 % Consensus of Scientists” Is Bogus

The 97% Claim – False!

- “Probably the most widely repeated claim in the debate over global warming is that “**97 percent of scientists agree**” that climate change is man-made and dangerous. This claim is not only false, but its presence in the debate is an insult to science.”
 - *Why Scientists Disagree About GW*, pg. 1 [Introduction].
- “In May 2014, Secretary of State **John Kerry** warned graduating students at Boston College of the “crippling consequences” of climate change. “Ninety-seven percent of the world’s scientists tell us this is urgent,” he added (Kerry, 2014). Three days earlier, President Obama tweeted that “Ninety-seven percent of scientists agree: #climate change is real, man-made and dangerous” (**Obama**, 2014). What is the basis of these claims?”
 - *Why Scientists Disagree About GW*, pg. 8.




Consensus on Consensus – Cook, 2016

Studies into scientific agreement on human-caused global warming

- Seven climate consensus studies

- Oreskes 2004, **Doran 2009**, Anderegg 2010, Cook 2013, Verheggen 2014, Stenhouse 2014, Carlton 2015



- Two key conclusions:

- 1) Depending on exactly how you measure the expert consensus, it's somewhere between 90% and 100% that agree humans are responsible for climate change, with most of our studies finding 97% consensus among publishing climate scientists.
- 2) The greater the climate expertise among those surveyed, the higher the consensus on human-caused global warming.



Why Scientists Disagree on GW, pg. 8ff

e.g. Doran Survey Bias

- Doran/Zimmerman 2009 two-question survey:
 - “Q1. When compared with pre-1800s levels, do you think that mean global temperatures have generally **risen**, fallen, or remained relatively constant?”
 - Q2. Do you think human activity is a **significant** contributing factor in changing mean global temperatures?”


- Survey sent to 10,257 Earth scientists

Why Scientists Disagree on GW, pg. 13-14


- Limited to academic, government workers
- **Solar scientists & meteorologists excluded**
- Responses from 3,146
- Q1 – risen 90+
- Q2 – yes 82

- Survey results considered

- 79 who listed climate science as their area of expertise, and 50%+ of recent papers on climate science
- Asked wrong questions of wrong people



Doran GW Survey Results





Conclusions



Reasons Secular Scientists Think Earth's Climate is Unstable

1. Faulty computer climate models
 - Feedback – positive or negative?
2. Fraudulent politicized research
 - Use of surface vs. satellite temperature measurements
 - Cherry-picked data
 - Manipulated data
3. Dependence on the Milankovitch theory



What News Media Isn't Telling You

- There has been **NO** Global Warming in 19 years
- Global Warming from 1978 to 1998 has been replaced by Global Cooling
- The Antarctic ice sheet is growing, not melting
- Sea level is rising 7" per century, not 20 ft.
- 4 of the past 5 years have set snowfall records
- CO2 cannot cause global warming
- Severe storms are not more frequent than normal
- The oceans are not acidic

What Should the Church Do About GW?

- Commit to viewing the world from God's perspective
- Understand and rely on Scripture as a foundation for life
- Use the Bible to understand the world and evaluate all problems
- Help inform other believers
- Promote the truth and oppose false beliefs with gentleness and respect



Gen. 8:22, *While the earth remains, seedtime and harvest, cold and heat, summer and winter, day and night, shall not cease.*



In Conclusion

- UN IPCC CC models have failed miserably
- No significant GW for the last 19 years
- Sea levels only increased 1.5 mm per decade
- **Man-made causes** are insufficient
 - CO2 component of atmosphere insufficient
- **Natural causes** of CC are more credible
 - Sun energy alone is insufficient
 - Cosmic radiance with sunspots can explain CC
 - Pacific Decadal Oscillation may explain CC
- Paris Climate Agreement **NOT** a solution for a **non-existent problem**

Climate Change is **NOT** a Crisis
Let's Not Panic!


**Thank you
for your
attention!**

Dr. Heinz Lycklama

heinz@osta.com

HeinzLycklama.com

HeinzLycklama.com/RefutingGWA





References

- Easterbrook/280.ppt
- Easterbrook/WAStateSenatePPT-032613.ppt
- PhoenixClimatePresentation.ppt
- History of CC in Greenland (DVD)
- The Great GW Debate by Michael Oard (DVD)
- Global Warming by Coral Ridge (DVD)
- Articles by Dr. Larry Vardiman, Climate Scientist at ICR
 - Does CO2 Drive Global Warming?
 - A New Theory of Climate Change



More References

- The Great Global Warming Blunder, Dr. Roy Spencer
- The Skeptic's Handbook, Joanne Nova 2009
- Climate Change Reconsidered II Series (NIPCC)
 - **Physical Science** - Full Report.
 - **Physical Science** - Summary for Policymakers
 - **Biological Impacts** - Full Report.
 - **Biological Impacts** - Summary for Policymakers
- Nature, Not Human Activity, Rules the Climate (SEPP)
- Why Scientists Disagree About GW (NIPCC)



Five Key Climate Questions

- Is the world warming?
 - *Yes, but historic record likely overstated, and there has been no warming in last 10 years*
- Is that warming due to man's CO₂?
 - *Likely “some,” but probably not “most”*
- Will future man-made warming be substantial?
 - *Perhaps a degree, at most, over the next century*
- Will we see catastrophic effects from warming?
 - *Likely not – we have not seen them so far*
- Do CO₂ abatement laws like cap-and-trade make sense?
 - *Costs far more than it helps. Many more important priorities. Carbon tax better than cap-and-trade.*